Collaborate

Route Selection and Environmental Strategies in Subsea Pipeline Engineering

Part of the Book Series "Maritime Engineering"

Planning the Clean Water Pipeline Route from Makassar to Kodingareng Lompo Island

Abstract

Kodingareng Lompo Island is a small island in the Makassar coastal region that faces persistent clean water shortages due to seawater intrusion, limited freshwater resources, and increasing water demand. One potential solution is the distribution of clean water from mainland Makassar through a subsea pipeline system. In such projects, route selection is a critical planning stage that significantly influences installation feasibility, operational reliability, and long-term project sustainability. This article examines the role of route selection in subsea pipeline planning using a literature-based perspective approach. The discussion focuses on the limitations of conventional shortest-path methods and highlights the importance of considering bathymetric conditions, maritime activities, environmental factors, and installation constraints. The study argues that corridor-based planning and multi-alternative route evaluation provide a more comprehensive framework for decision-making than distance-based optimization alone. Furthermore, route selection should be integrated with installation planning to minimize technical risks and improve system reliability. The findings emphasize that successful clean water distribution to Kodingareng Lompo Island depends not only on hydraulic design but also on the selection of a route that balances technical, operational, environmental, and economic considerations.

Gabriela Vanesa Mutiarani*

Global Scholarly Research Institute, Indonesia.
*Correspondence author:
vanesamutiarani870@gmail.com

Keywords: Route Selection, Subsea Pipeline, Clean Water, Corridor Planning, Makassar, Kodingareng Lompo.

1. Introduction

Kodingareng Lompo Island is one of the inhabited islands located within the coastal area of Makassar, Indonesia, and plays an important role in supporting local communities and tourism activities. Despite its strategic location, the island faces significant challenges regarding the availability of clean water. Similar to many small islands in Indonesia, freshwater resources on Kodingareng Lompo are limited due to geological and environmental constraints. The increasing demand for clean water has therefore become a critical issue requiring sustainable infrastructure solutions [1].

One of the main causes of water scarcity on the island is seawater intrusion, which reduces the quality of groundwater resources and limits their suitability for domestic consumption. In addition, the absence of significant natural freshwater sources makes the island highly dependent on external water supplies. Population growth, urban development, and tourism-related activities further intensify water demand, creating additional pressure on the existing water supply system [2].

To address these challenges, the distribution of clean water from mainland Makassar has emerged as a promising alternative. Among the available options, subsea pipeline systems are widely recognized as an efficient method for transporting large volumes of water over relatively long distances. Compared to water transportation by tanker vessels, pipeline systems offer continuous delivery, reduced operational dependency on weather conditions, and potentially lower long-term operating costs [3].

However, the successful implementation of a subsea pipeline system is influenced by numerous engineering considerations. While hydraulic design and pipe material selection are important components of pipeline planning, route selection remains one of the most critical stages of the entire project. The selected route directly affects installation feasibility, construction costs, operational reliability, maintenance accessibility, and overall project sustainability throughout its service life [4].

In practice, route selection is often simplified as a process of identifying the shortest distance between two locations. Although this approach may appear economically attractive during the early planning stage, it frequently overlooks important environmental and operational constraints. Factors such as bathymetry, seabed morphology, marine currents, sediment characteristics, fishing activities, navigation routes, and future maintenance requirements can significantly influence the feasibility and safety of a subsea pipeline installation. Consequently, the shortest route is not always the most appropriate solution [5].

Recent developments in marine infrastructure planning have introduced corridor-based route planning approaches that evaluate multiple route alternatives within a defined planning corridor. This methodology allows engineers to consider technical risks, environmental constraints, and installation requirements simultaneously rather than relying solely on distance-based optimization. Such an approach is particularly relevant for Indonesian coastal waters, where marine environments are often characterized by complex seabed conditions and intensive human activities [6].

Based on these considerations, this article aims to examine the role of route selection in planning a clean water pipeline system between Makassar and Kodingareng Lompo Island using a literature-based perspective approach. Specifically, the study seeks to discuss why route selection should be treated as a strategic engineering decision, evaluate the limitations of conventional shortest-path approaches, and highlight the advantages of corridor-based planning in improving the technical, economic, and operational feasibility of subsea clean water distribution systems.

2. Discussion of Primary Issues

The primary challenge in planning a subsea clean water pipeline between Makassar and Kodingareng Lompo Island is the selection of a route that can ensure safe installation and reliable long-term operation. While the distance between the mainland and the island is relatively short compared to large-scale offshore pipeline projects, the marine environment introduces numerous technical constraints that cannot be ignored. Consequently, route selection must be approached as a comprehensive engineering process rather than a simple geometric exercise [7].

One of the most important factors affecting route feasibility is bathymetry and seabed morphology. Variations in water depth, seabed slope, and bottom irregularities can significantly influence installation methods and construction costs. Steep seabed gradients may increase pipeline stresses during installation, while uneven seabed surfaces can create unsupported spans that reduce structural stability. Therefore, understanding seabed characteristics is essential for identifying routes that minimize installation difficulties and future maintenance requirements [8].

Another major issue is the presence of intensive maritime activities in the coastal waters surrounding Makassar. The area serves as an important transportation corridor for fishing vessels, passenger boats, and local shipping operations. Pipelines installed within high-traffic marine zones are exposed to external hazards such as anchor strikes, accidental impacts, and fishing gear interference. These risks may compromise pipeline integrity and increase operational uncertainty throughout the project lifecycle. Consequently, route planning must consider existing navigation patterns and human activities to reduce potential conflicts between infrastructure and maritime users [9].

Environmental and oceanographic conditions also play a significant role in determining route

suitability. Marine currents, wave action, and sediment transport processes continuously modify seabed conditions. In certain locations, sediment erosion or deposition may expose previously buried pipeline sections or create instability around the pipeline structure. These dynamic conditions can increase maintenance requirements and reduce the long-term reliability of the system if not adequately considered during the planning stage [10].

Installation constraints represent another important challenge in subsea pipeline development. Access for installation vessels, weather limitations, and available construction technologies can influence the practicality of a proposed route. A theoretically optimal route may become difficult to install if it passes through areas with unfavorable seabed conditions or restricted operational access. Therefore, route selection should incorporate installation feasibility from the earliest planning stages rather than treating construction considerations as secondary factors [11].

Beyond construction challenges, long-term operational reliability remains a critical concern for public utility infrastructure. Unlike industrial pipelines that may tolerate temporary interruptions, clean water distribution systems must provide continuous and dependable service to local communities. Inspection, maintenance, and emergency repair operations are considerably more complex in marine environments than in land-based systems. As a result, route selection should prioritize not only installation efficiency but also accessibility for future monitoring and maintenance activities [12].

Considering these challenges, the fundamental issue in the Makassar-Kodingareng Lompo pipeline project is not merely how to connect two locations but how to establish a route that balances technical feasibility, installation practicality, environmental compatibility, and operational reliability. This perspective highlights the necessity of adopting a broader route selection framework capable of addressing both present engineering requirements and future operational risks.

3. Review and Critique of Existing Approaches

Conventional approaches to subsea pipeline route selection have traditionally emphasized distance minimization as the primary criterion for decision-making. Under this perspective, the shortest route between two locations is generally considered the most efficient because it reduces pipeline length, material requirements, and initial construction costs. This approach has been widely adopted during preliminary planning stages due to its simplicity and ease of implementation. However, such a methodology often overlooks critical environmental and operational factors that may significantly affect project feasibility and long-term performance [4].

One major limitation of the shortest-path approach is its tendency to treat route selection as a purely geometric problem. In reality, subsea pipeline systems operate within complex marine environments where bathymetry, seabed conditions, oceanographic processes, and human activities play important roles. A route that appears optimal based solely on distance may traverse steep seabed slopes, unstable sediments, or heavily trafficked navigation corridors, thereby increasing installation difficulties and operational risks. Consequently, minimizing route length does not necessarily result in minimizing overall project cost or risk [5].

Several international pipeline projects have demonstrated the importance of considering factors beyond distance optimization. Large-scale offshore and subsea infrastructure developments frequently incorporate extensive route studies to identify corridors that balance engineering feasibility, environmental protection, and economic efficiency. These experiences suggest that successful pipeline projects are typically based on comprehensive route evaluations rather than simple shortest-path calculations. The lessons learned from such projects have contributed to the development of more sophisticated route planning methodologies within the offshore engineering industry [13].

As an alternative to traditional approaches, corridor-based route planning has gained increasing attention in both academic and industrial applications. Rather than focusing on a single predetermined alignment, this method identifies a broader planning corridor within which multiple route alternatives can be evaluated. The corridor concept provides greater flexibility during decision-making by allowing planners to compare different alignments according to technical, environmental, economic, and operational criteria. This approach recognizes that optimal routes emerge from balancing multiple constraints rather than minimizing a single variable [4], [5].

The advancement of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and marine spatial analysis

technologies has further strengthened corridor-based planning methodologies. GIS tools enable the integration of various spatial datasets, including bathymetric information, seabed characteristics, environmental sensitivity zones, navigation routes, and coastal infrastructure. Through spatial overlay analysis, planners can identify high-risk areas and develop route alternatives that avoid significant constraints. As a result, route selection becomes more systematic, transparent, and data-driven [14].

Despite these developments, the application of advanced route selection methodologies remains relatively limited in the context of small-island water supply projects in Indonesia. Many planning studies continue to focus primarily on hydraulic calculations and economic assessments while providing limited attention to route optimization and installation feasibility. This gap is particularly relevant for projects involving subsea pipelines connecting mainland regions to small islands, where marine environmental conditions can strongly influence project outcomes. Therefore, further emphasis on corridor-based planning and multi-criteria route evaluation is necessary to improve the reliability and sustainability of future clean water infrastructure projects in archipelagic environments [7].

4. Author's Perspective or Argumentation

The author argues that route selection should be regarded as one of the most critical stages in subsea pipeline planning. In many infrastructure projects, route determination is often treated as a preliminary activity that merely connects a source location to a destination. However, such a perspective underestimates the significant influence that route selection has on construction feasibility, operational performance, maintenance requirements, and overall project sustainability. For subsea clean water distribution systems, the selected route effectively determines the long-term success or failure of the entire infrastructure network.

From the author's perspective, the traditional assumption that the shortest route represents the optimal solution is no longer adequate for modern subsea infrastructure projects. Although shorter routes may appear attractive because they reduce pipeline length and initial material requirements, they frequently introduce additional technical challenges that are not immediately apparent during the early planning stage. A route crossing unstable seabed conditions, steep underwater slopes, or areas of intense maritime activity may ultimately generate higher installation costs and greater operational risks than a slightly longer alternative. Therefore, route optimization should focus on minimizing total project risk rather than minimizing distance alone.

The author further supports the adoption of corridor-based planning as a more comprehensive framework for route selection. Instead of committing to a single alignment from the outset, corridor planning allows engineers to evaluate multiple alternatives within a broader planning zone. This methodology improves decision-making flexibility and provides opportunities to compare routes according to various criteria, including installation complexity, environmental sensitivity, accessibility for maintenance, and long-term operational reliability. By considering multiple alternatives simultaneously, planners are better positioned to identify routes that offer the most balanced overall performance [5].

Another key argument proposed in this study is the importance of adopting an installation-oriented planning philosophy. In practice, some routes may appear technically acceptable on maps but prove difficult to install due to seabed conditions, vessel access limitations, or operational constraints. Consequently, route selection should not be separated from installation considerations. A route should be considered favorable only if it can be installed safely, efficiently, and with an acceptable level of technical risk. Integrating installation feasibility into the route selection process can significantly reduce construction uncertainty and improve project execution [15].

In the specific context of the Makassar-Kodingareng Lompo clean water distribution project, the author believes that long-term service reliability should be prioritized above short-term cost savings. Clean water infrastructure differs from many industrial pipeline systems because it directly supports community welfare and public health. Any interruption in water supply may have immediate social and economic consequences for island residents. Therefore, route selection should prioritize operational continuity, ease of inspection, and accessibility for future maintenance activities. These factors are particularly important in marine environments where repair operations

are often more difficult and expensive than on land.

The author also emphasizes the importance of integrating marine spatial information into the planning process. Bathymetric data, navigation routes, fishing activity zones, environmental protection areas, and coastal development plans should all be considered during route evaluation. The integration of these datasets through spatial analysis tools can improve the quality of engineering decisions and reduce the likelihood of future conflicts between infrastructure and other marine users. Such an approach aligns with modern principles of sustainable coastal and offshore infrastructure development [6].

Ultimately, the author argues that route selection should be viewed not as a purely technical calculation but as a multidisciplinary decision-making process involving engineering, environmental, operational, and economic considerations. For the Makassar-Kodingareng Lompo pipeline project, the most suitable route will not necessarily be the shortest route but rather the route that provides the highest level of safety, constructability, reliability, and long-term sustainability. Adopting this perspective can contribute to the development of more resilient clean water infrastructure systems for small islands throughout Indonesia and other archipelagic regions facing similar challenges.

5. Conclusions

The planning of a clean water pipeline system from Makassar to Kodingareng Lompo Island requires careful consideration of route selection as a fundamental component of project development. This study highlights that route selection should not be simplified as the process of identifying the shortest distance between two locations. Instead, it must be treated as a strategic engineering decision that influences installation feasibility, operational reliability, maintenance accessibility, and overall project sustainability.

The review presented in this article demonstrates that conventional shortest-path approaches have significant limitations when applied to subsea pipeline projects. Marine environments are characterized by complex interactions among bathymetric conditions, seabed morphology, maritime activities, and environmental processes that cannot be adequately addressed through distance-based optimization alone. As a result, routes that appear efficient from a geometric perspective may introduce considerable technical and operational risks during both installation and long-term operation.

From the author's perspective, corridor-based planning and multi-alternative route evaluation provide a more appropriate framework for subsea pipeline development. These approaches enable engineers to assess multiple route options simultaneously and identify alignments that balance technical feasibility, environmental compatibility, economic considerations, and operational requirements. Furthermore, incorporating installation-oriented planning into the route selection process can improve constructability and reduce uncertainties during project execution.

Overall, the success of the Makassar–Kodingareng Lompo clean water pipeline project depends not only on hydraulic design and material selection but also on the quality of route planning undertaken during the early stages of development. Future studies are recommended to incorporate detailed bathymetric surveys, Geographic Information System (GIS)-based spatial analysis, hydraulic modeling, and economic assessments to support more comprehensive route optimization. Such efforts can contribute to the development of reliable and sustainable clean water infrastructure for small islands throughout Indonesia.

References

[1] M. B. Manurung, T. E. B. Soesilo, H. Kusnoputranto, I. W. Suryawan, and I. Sianipar, "Health risk analysis of detergent contamination in communities on Kodingareng Lompo Island, Makassar City," *J. Presipitasi,* vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 426–435, 2022, doi: 10.14710/presipitasi.v19i2.426-435.

[2] D. Lee, J. Park, H. A. Lee, and N. Woo, "A numerical approach to evaluating groundwater vulnerability to seawater intrusion on Jeju Volcanic Island, South Korea," *Sustainability,* vol. 15, no. 4, p. 3081, 2023, doi: 10.3390/su15043081.

[3] T. Suyono, A. P. Irawan, W. A. Pranoto, and A. Fudholi, "Inter-island freshwater pipeline installation technology with TJ-19 method," *Civ. Eng. Archit.,* vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 2592–2600, 2022, doi: 10.13189/cea.2022.100627.

[4] N. Makrakis, P. Psarropoulos, and Y. Tsompanakis, "GIS-based optimal route selection of submarine cables considering potential seismic fault zones," *Appl. Sci.,* vol. 13, no. 5, p. 2995, 2023, doi: 10.3390/app13052995.

[5] N. Makrakis, P. Psarropoulos, D. Chatzidakis, and Y. Tsompanakis, "Optimal route selection of offshore pipelines subjected to submarine landslides," *Open Civ. Eng. J.,* vol. 16, p. e220922202230, 2022, doi: 10.2174/18741495-v16-e220922-2022-30.

[6] R. Iswara and A. Afriansyah, "Arrangement of submarine cables and pipelines as part of marine spatial planning in Indonesia," *Jambe Law J.,* vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–38, 2022, doi: 10.22437/jlj.5.1.1-38.

[7] H. Palippui, "Analysis of the installation of subsea pipelines to support the need for clean water in supporting tourism development on Kayangan Island," *Marit. Park J. Marit. Technol. Soc.,* vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 8–16, 2022, doi: 10.20956/maritimepark.v1i1.19926.

[8] J.-S. Zhu, S. Su, and F.-Q. Chen, "Break-out resistance of offshore pipelines buried in inclined sandy seabed," *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.,* vol. 13, no. 9, p. 1669, 2025, doi: 10.3390/jmse13091669.

[9] U. Arumugam, S. Krishna, R. Milligan, and R. Krishnamurthy, "Assessment of pipeline damages caused by ship anchor-chain drag incidents," in *Proc. Int. Pipeline Conf. (IPC),* vol. 5, Risk and Reliability; Offshore, Upstream, and Production Pipelines; Emerging Fuels and Greenhouse Gas Emissions, 2024, doi: 10.1115/IPC2024-134100.

[10] P. Huang, X. Meng, H. Dong, and L. Chong, "Numerical modeling of submarine pipeline scouring under tropical storms," *Water*, vol. 13, no. 10, p. 1425, 2021, doi: 10.3390/w13101425.

[11] D. Karabaić, M. Kršulja, S. Maričić, and L. Liverić, "The optimization of a subsea pipeline installation configuration using a genetic algorithm," *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.,* vol. 12, no. 1, p. 156, 2024, doi: 10.3390/jmse12010156.

[12] N. Sulaiman, N. A. Zakuan, and H. Tan, "Risk based inspection and maintenance strategy for subsea pipelines using Bayesian influence diagram," in *Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Comput. Commun. Netw. (ICCCN 2022)*, AIP Conf. Proc., 2024, doi: 10.1063/5.0185054.

[13] A. Skretas, S. Gyftakis, and E. Marcoulaki, "A demonstration of sustainable pipeline routing optimization using detailed financial and environmental assessment," *J. Clean. Prod.,* vol. 362, p. 132305, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132305.

[14] A. Mahjoob, Y. Noorollahi, and M. S. Naghavi, "Spatial analysis for natural gas pipeline routing, monitoring, and high-risk areas identification," *J. Pipeline Syst. Eng. Pract.,* vol. 15, no. 4, p. 04024038, 2024, doi: 10.1061/JPSEA2.PSENG-1473.

[15] D. Karabaić, M. Kršulja, S. Maričić, and L. Liverić, "The optimization of a subsea pipeline installation configuration using a genetic algorithm," *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.,* vol. 12, no. 1, p. 156, 2024, doi: 10.3390/jmse12010156.