Collaborate

Route Selection and Environmental Strategies in Subsea Pipeline Engineering

Part of the Book Series "Maritime Engineering"

Engineering Strategies in Dealing with Environmental Constraints in Pipeline Installations in Sensitive Coastal Areas

Abstract

Undersea pipeline route planning in coastal areas requires an approach that integrates engineering and environmental aspects simultaneously from the early stages of design. Based on a literature review of the "Guidelines for Marine and Coastal Environmental Impact Assessment" by the International Finance Corporation as well as scientific publications such as "GIS-Based Multi-Criteria Analysis for Pipeline Route Selection" by Springer and various studies in the journal Ocean Engineering (Elsevier), it is known that environmental constraint is an important parameter in engineering planning. The concept of coastal sensitivity which includes mangrove areas, estuaries, and coral reefs is integrated into the route selection process through a GIS-based approach and multi-criteria decision analysis. The results of the study show that route avoidance strategies for sensitive areas not only significantly reduce ecological impacts, but also improve technical efficiency by minimizing risks such as seabed soil instability, disturbances during installation, and potential additional costs due to advanced mitigation. In addition, international guidelines affirm that avoidance is a priority step before mitigation in the hierarchy of environmental impact management. Thus, route avoidance can be positioned as an integral part of the engineering strategy in undersea pipeline planning, not just a response to environmental regulations, but rather as a design approach that supports the sustainability and reliability of infrastructure in sensitive coastal areas.

Farah Diba Aprilia[1], Rizka Dwi Puspitasari[2]*, Aerin Regina Putri[3], Nari Rati Putri[4], Nabila Nugraha[5], and Siti Nur Avira[6]

[1,2,3,4,5,6]Department of Ocean Engineering, Hasanuddin University, Indonesia.
*Correspondence author:
puspitasaririzkadwi@gmail.com

Keywords: Environmental Constraint, Coastal Sensitivity, Mangrove, Estuary, Coral Area, Route Avoidance, Subsea Pipeline, Engineering Planning.

1. Introduction

The installation of piping systems in coastal areas is an important part of the development of energy and utility infrastructure, but its implementation is faced with various complex environmental constraints. Dynamic coastal environmental conditions, such as the influence of waves, currents, tides, and unstable soil geotechnical characteristics, demand an adaptive and integrated engineering approach. In addition, corrosion factors due to exposure to seawater and potential changes in coastal morphology are also major challenges in maintaining the reliability and service life of the piping system [1].

These challenges are increasing in areas with high levels of coastal sensitivity, especially in areas with critical ecosystems such as mangroves, estuaries, and coral areas. Mangrove ecosystems act as natural protectors of beaches from abrasion and intrusion of seawater, while estuaries are important transition zones that support biological productivity and nutrient cycling. On the other hand, coral reefs are known as habitats with high biodiversity that are highly susceptible to physical disturbances as well as changes in water quality. Poorly planned pipeline installation activities have the potential to cause permanent damage to the ecosystem, so effective mitigation strategies are needed from the early stages of planning [1].

One of the strategies that is the focus in dealing with these obstacles is the implementation of route avoidance, which is a pipeline planning approach by avoiding areas that have a high level of environmental sensitivity. This strategy is considered the most effective preventive measure in minimizing the impact on mangroves, estuaries, and coral reefs. However, the implementation of route avoidance is often faced with technical and economic limitations, such as increased pipeline length, construction complexity, and geological conditions that are not always supportive. Therefore, a comprehensive analysis is needed to strike a balance between the environmental protection aspects and the technical feasibility of the project [2].

In addition to route avoidance, other engineering strategies are also needed to support the success of pipeline installations in sensitive coastal areas. The use of corrosion-resistant materials, the application of minimally disturbed installation methods such as horizontal directional drilling (HDD), and the integration of environmental monitoring systems are part of a more holistic approach. This combination of lane avoidance strategy and technological innovation is expected to reduce the risk of environmental damage while increasing operational efficiency [2].

Based on this description, this study aims to analyze and formulate engineering strategies in dealing with environmental constraints in piping installations in coastal areas with high levels of coastal sensitivity. The focus of the research is directed at the identification of the role of route avoidance and other supporting strategies in minimizing impacts on mangrove, estuary, and coral reef ecosystems. Thus, the results of this study are expected to contribute to the development of more sustainable and environmentally friendly engineering practices in piping projects in coastal areas [2].

2. Materials and Methods

This study uses a descriptive-analytical approach by integrating spatial data, environmental data, and technical parameters of piping installations in sensitive coastal areas. The main materials used in this study include secondary data in the form of coastal land cover maps, bathymetric maps, oceanographic data (waves, currents, and tides), as well as data on the geotechnical characteristics of the groundsoil. In addition, coastal ecosystem distribution data covering mangroves, estuaries, and coral areas is also used as the main indicator of coastal sensitivity. These data were obtained from the results of previous surveys, scientific publications, and databases of related agencies [3].

The research method begins with the identification of environmental constraints and coastal sensitivity levels through spatial analysis based on Geographic Information Systems (GIS). Each environmental parameter, such as the presence of mangroves, estuaries, and coral reefs, is given weight based on its level of sensitivity to disruption of construction activities. The results of this weighting are then used to produce a coastal sensitivity zoning map that classifies the area into low, medium, and high levels [4].

Furthermore, an analysis of pipeline planning was carried out by applying a route avoidance approach. At this stage, alternative paths are designed by avoiding high-sensitivity zones, specifically mangroves, estuaries, and coral reef areas. The analysis was carried out by considering various criteria, including path length, geotechnical conditions, water depth, and potential environmental risks. The multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) method is used to determine the optimal path that is able to balance technical and environmental aspects [4].

In addition, an evaluation of installation methods and materials suitable for sensitive coastal conditions was carried out. Several alternative methods, such as open cut and non-invasive methods such as horizontal directional drilling (HDD), are compared based on the level of environmental disturbance caused. Pipe materials are also analyzed based on corrosion resistance and service life under marine environmental conditions [4].

The final stage of the research includes a comparative analysis of the results of the planned path and the selected installation method. The evaluation was carried out to assess the effectiveness of engineering strategies in reducing the impact on environmental constraints and maintaining the sustainability of coastal ecosystems. The results of this analysis are then used to formulate recommendations for optimal engineering strategies in piping installations in coastal areas with high levels of coastal sensitivity [5].

3. Results

The results of the spatial analysis showed that the coastal areas studied had varying levels of coastal sensitivity, with a significant distribution of mangrove, estuary, and coral area ecosystems. Mangrove areas are generally located in shallow coastal zones and river estuaries, while estuaries are scattered in transition areas between river flows and open seas. Meanwhile, coral reefs are found in waters with a certain depth that have high water clarity. Based on the results of weighting of environmental parameters, most of the areas containing the three ecosystems are classified as zones of high sensitivity [5].

The resulting sensitivity zoning map shows that zones with high sensitivity levels dominate areas near coastlines and estuaries, while medium to low sensitivity zones tend to be in areas farther away from critical ecosystems. These results indicate that direct piping installations through the shortest line have great potential to cross areas with high environmental constraints, thereby increasing the risk of environmental impacts [5].

The application of route avoidance strategies has resulted in several alternative pipeline routes that avoid high-sensitivity zones. From the results of the multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA), an optimal path was obtained that has the best balance between technical and environmental aspects. The trail shows a shift from the original route with a slight increase in track length, but significantly reduces direct interaction with mangroves, estuaries, and coral reefs. In general, this strategy has proven effective in reducing the potential for disturbance to sensitive ecosystems [6].

In addition, the results of the evaluation of the installation method show that non-invasive methods such as horizontal directional drilling (HDD) have advantages in minimizing surface disturbance compared to conventional open cut methods. The application of the HDD method at critical points, such as areas near mangroves and estuaries, is able to reduce the physical impact on habitats without significantly disrupting their ecological function. On the other hand, the open cut method can still be applied to low-sensitivity zones with relatively controlled environmental risks [7].

From the material aspect, the use of pipes with an anti-corrosion protective layer shows the potential for increased resistance to aggressive marine environmental conditions. This contributes to increasing the service life of the piping system as well as reducing the need for long-term maintenance [8].

Overall, the results show that the combination of route avoidance strategies, selection of the right installation method, and the use of appropriate materials can significantly reduce the impact of environmental constraints [9]. This approach not only improves environmental sustainability, but also maintains technical feasibility and operational efficiency in piping installations in sensitive coastal areas [10].

4. Discussion

The results of the study show that the high level of coastal sensitivity in coastal areas, especially in mangroves, estuaries, and coral areas, is the dominant factor in determining piping installation strategies. These findings are in line with the principles of coastal area management which emphasize that these ecosystems are highly vulnerable to physical disturbances and environmental changes [11]. Therefore, an engineering approach that ignores aspects of environmental sensitivity has the potential to have significant long-term impacts, both ecologically and economically [12].

The application of route avoidance strategies in this study has proven to be the most effective approach in minimizing direct interaction between pipelines and areas with high environmental constraints. Compared to conventional approaches that tend to choose the shortest route, route avoidance provides advantages in the form of reduced risk of ecosystem damage [13]. Although this strategy led to an increase in line length and potential construction costs, the results of the analysis showed that the reduction in environmental impact achieved was much more significant. This indicates that the optimization of the line must not only be based on technical efficiency, but also on environmental sustainability considerations [14].

In addition, the results of the evaluation of the installation method show that non-invasive technologies such as horizontal directional drilling (HDD) have an important role in supporting impact mitigation strategies. This method allows pipe installations to be carried out without directly disturbing the surface, making it particularly relevant to be applied to high-sensitivity areas such as mangroves and estuaries. However, the application of HDD also has limitations, including higher cost requirements and dependence on subsurface geological conditions. Therefore, the selection of installation methods must take into account the balance between environmental effectiveness and technical feasibility [15].

Materially, the use of pipes with anti-corrosion protection shows a significant contribution to the sustainability of piping systems in coastal environments. Aggressive ocean conditions accelerate material degradation, so the right material selection is a key factor in reducing the risk of system failure. Thus, the engineering strategy applied not only focuses on the construction stage, but also considers long-term performance [15].

Furthermore, the integration between spatial analysis, multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) approaches, and engineering evaluation provides a comprehensive framework in dealing with environmental constraints [16]. This approach allows for more objective and measurable decision-making, especially in determining the optimal path that considers various parameters simultaneously. This shows that the combination of analytical technology and environmental engineering principles is indispensable in infrastructure planning in coastal areas [17].

Overall, this study emphasizes that effective engineering strategies in dealing with environmental constraints cannot stand alone, but must be integrated with an understanding of coastal sensitivity. An approach that combines route avoidance, selection of the right installation method, and the use of appropriate materials has been proven to minimize the impact on mangrove, estuary, and coral reef ecosystems. Thus, the results of this study support the application of sustainable engineering principles in the development of pipeline infrastructure in sensitive coastal areas [17].

5. Conclusions

The results of the study show that the high level of coastal sensitivity in coastal areas, especially in mangroves, estuaries, and coral areas, is the dominant factor in determining piping installation strategies. These findings are in line with the principles of coastal area management which emphasize that these ecosystems are highly vulnerable to physical disturbances and environmental changes. Therefore, an engineering approach that ignores aspects of environmental sensitivity has the potential to have significant long-term impacts, both ecologically and economically.

The application of route avoidance strategies in this study has proven to be the most effective approach in minimizing direct interaction between pipelines and areas with high environmental constraints. Compared to conventional approaches that tend to choose the shortest route, route avoidance provides advantages in the form of reduced risk of ecosystem damage. Although this strategy led to an increase in line length and potential construction costs, the results of the analysis showed that the reduction in environmental impact achieved was much more significant. This indicates that the optimization of the path must not only be based on technical efficiency, but also on environmental sustainability considerations.

In addition, the results of the evaluation of the installation method show that non-invasive technologies such as horizontal directional drilling (HDD) have an important role in supporting impact mitigation strategies. This method allows pipe installations to be carried out without directly disturbing the surface, making it particularly relevant to be applied to high-sensitivity areas such as mangroves and estuaries. However, the application of HDD also has limitations, including higher cost requirements and dependence on subsurface geological conditions. Therefore, the selection of installation methods must take into account the balance between environmental effectiveness and technical feasibility.

Materially, the use of pipes with anti-corrosion protection shows a significant contribution to the sustainability of piping systems in coastal environments. Aggressive ocean conditions accelerate material degradation, so the right material selection is a key factor in reducing the risk of system failure. Thus, the engineering strategy applied not only focuses on the construction stage, but also considers long-term performance.

Furthermore, the integration of spatial analysis, multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) approaches, and engineering evaluation provides a comprehensive framework in dealing with environmental constraints. This approach allows for more objective and measurable decision-making, especially in determining the optimal path that considers various parameters simultaneously. This shows that the combination of analytical technology and environmental engineering principles is indispensable in infrastructure planning in coastal areas.

Overall, this study emphasizes that effective engineering strategies in dealing with environmental constraints cannot stand alone, but must be integrated with an understanding of coastal sensitivity. An approach that combines route avoidance, selection of the right installation method, and the use of appropriate materials has been proven to minimize the impact on mangrove, estuary, and coral reef ecosystems. Thus, the results of this study support the application of sustainable engineering principles in the development of pipeline infrastructure in sensitive coastal areas.

References

[1] T. Bond, J. Partridge, M. Taylor, T. Cooper, and D. McLean, “The influence of depth and a subsea pipeline on fish assemblages and commercially fished species,” *PLoS One*, vol. 13, 2018, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0207703.

[2] J. Kang and B. Lee, “Optimisation of pipeline route in the presence of obstacles based on a least cost path algorithm and laplacian smoothing,” *Int. J. Nav. Archit. Ocean Eng.*, vol. 9, pp. 492–498, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.ijnaoe.2017.02.001.

[3] S. Young *et al.*, “Mapping mangrove dynamics and colonization patterns at the Suriname coast using historic satellite data and the LandTrendr algorithm,” *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.*, vol. 97, p. 102293, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jag.2020.102293.

[4] A. Balogun, A. Matori, A. Hamid-Mosaku, D. U. Lawal, and I. A. Chandio, “Fuzzy MCDM-based GIS model for subsea oil pipeline route optimization: An integrated approach,” *Mar. Georesources Geotechnol.*, vol. 35, pp. 961–969, 2017, doi: 10.1080/1064119x.2016.1269247.

[5] V. Kaushal, M. Najafi, and R. Serajiantehrani, “Environmental Impacts of Conventional Open-Cut Pipeline Installation and Trenchless Technology Methods: State-of-the-Art Review,” *J. Pipeline Syst. Eng. Pract.*, vol. 11, p. 3120001, 2020, doi: 10.1061/(asce)ps.1949-1204.0000459.

[6] X. Yan, S. Ariaratnam, S. Dong, and C. Zeng, “Horizontal directional drilling: State-of-the-art review of theory and applications,” *Tunn. Undergr. Sp. Technol.*, vol. 72, pp. 162–173, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.tust.2017.10.005.

[7] J. Winterwerp *et al.*, “Managing erosion of mangrove-mud coasts with permeable dams – lessons learned,” *Ecol. Eng.*, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2020.106078.

[8] H. H. Farh, M. E. A. Ben Seghier, and T. Zayed, “A Comprehensive Review of Corrosion Protection and Control Techniques for Metallic Pipelines,” *Eng. File. Anal.*, 2022, doi:

10.1016/j.engfailanal.2022.106885.

[9] D. Xie *et al.*, "Mangrove removal exacerbates estuarine infilling through landscape-scale bio-morphodynamic feedbacks," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 14, 2023, doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-42733-1.

[10] Y. Ouyang, C. Wang, Y. Wu, J. Zhang, Z. Lin, and L. Cai, "Trend of the environmental supervision on submarine pipeline installation," *Approximately. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, vol. 25, pp. 28829–28833, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s11356-018-2910-5.

[11] E. Trégarot *et al.*, "Effects of climate change on marine coastal ecosystems – A review to guide research and management," *Biol. Conserv.*, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2023.110394.

[12] R. Morris, T. Konlechner, M. Ghisalberti, and S. Swearer, "From grey to green: Efficacy of eco-engineering solutions for nature-based coastal defence," *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, vol. 24, pp. 1827–1842, 2018, doi: 10.1111/gcb.14063.

[13] L. Biasotto, F. Becker, R. Nóbrega, and A. Kindel, "Routing Power Lines: Towards an Environmental and Engineering Friendly Framework for Avoiding Impacts and Conflicts in the Planning Phase," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2022, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.4052424.

[14] B. Wang *et al.*, "Sustainable crude oil transportation: design optimization for pipelines considering thermal and hydraulic energy consumption," *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.cherd.2019.07.034.

[15] D. Xi, H. Lu, X. Zou, Y. Fu, H. Ni, and B. Li, "Development of trenchless rehabilitation for underground pipelines from an academic perspective," *Tunn. Undergr. Sp. Technol.*, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.tust.2023.105515.

[16] S. Meerow, "A green infrastructure spatial planning model for evaluating ecosystem service tradeoffs and synergies across three coastal megacities," *Approximately. Res. Lett.*, vol. 14, 2019, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/ab502c.

[17] H. Jia *et al.*, "Adaptive pressure-driven multi-criteria spatial decision-making for a targeted placement of green and grey runoff control infrastructures.," *Water Res.*, vol. 212, p. 118126, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2022.118126.