Route Selection and Environmental Strategies in Subsea Pipeline Engineering

Part of the Book Series "Maritime Engineering"

The Environmental-Driven Route Selection Paradigm: Shifting the Dominance of Distance Efficiency in Subsea Pipeline Installation in Indonesia

Abstract

Route selection for subsea pipelines in Indonesia has traditionally been dominated by distance efficiency parameters in order to minimize capital expenditures. However, this approach tends to overlook the complexity of Indonesia's dynamic and sensitive marine ecosystems. This perspective article argues that Environmental and Coastal Sensitivity must be repositioned as a primary engineering constraint, rather than merely a regulatory barrier or a post-design obligation. Drawing on the theoretical foundations of coastal hydrodynamics and infrastructure risk management, the author critically analyzes the shortcomings of the "shortest route" approach, which often triggers technical risks such as premature corrosion, scouring, and free-spanning phenomena in coral reef and estuarine areas. The author proposes a Route Avoidance strategy as a superior engineering solution compared to conventional environmental compensation strategies. The analysis results show that integrating environmental sensitivity weights into a multi-criteria decisionmaking model not only protects biodiversity but also enhances the pipeline structure's resilience to coastal morphological changes in Indonesian waters. This article concludes that a paradigm shift toward the "ecologically most stable route" is imperative to ensure long-term operational integrity and operational expense (OPEX) efficiency.

Charlie[1], Qarnul Manatzil Anwar[2], A. Fuad Arsal Raditya H[3], Muh. Afdan Maulana[4]*, A. Zhafran Muh Ardi[5], Nabilahtul Alam[6], Aung Ye Kyaw[7]

[1,2,3,4,5,6]Hasanuddin University, Indonesia
[7]Department of Marine Administration, Myanmar

*Correspondence author:
muhafdan87@gmail.com

Keywords: Environmental Constraints, Coastal Sensitivity, Route Avoidance, Subsea Pipelines, Indonesia.

1. Introduction

The maritime landscape of the Indonesian archipelago represents one of the most complex engineering frontiers in the global offshore energy sector. Stretching across three time zones and situated at the confluence of the Pacific and Indian Oceans, Indonesia's seabed is a labyrinth of extreme bathymetric gradients and high-velocity currents. For decades, the primary philosophy governing the installation of subsea pipelines in this region has been the "Distance Efficiency Paradigm." This approach, inherited from early North Sea practices, operates on the singular logic that the shortest distance between two points minimizes material costs and installation time. However, in the modern era of climate consciousness and ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) mandates, this reductionist view is increasingly coming into conflict with the ecological realities of the Indonesian maritime domain [1].

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

The Indonesian seabed is not a static platform but a dynamic environment characterized by the "Ring of Fire" seismic activity and the powerful "Indonesian Throughflow" (ITF). Historically, routing pipelines based solely on distance has forced infrastructure through unstable slopes and sensitive habitats, leading to long-term structural failures. This introduction argues that the dominance of distance efficiency must be replaced by an "Environmental-Driven Route Selection Paradigm." This shift is not merely a matter of conservation; it is an evolution of risk management. By prioritizing environmental and geotechnical stability over spatial brevity, operators can ensure the longevity of assets that are expected to function for over three decades.

Furthermore, the socio-economic importance of the Indonesian seas cannot be overstated. With millions of citizens dependent on artisanal fishing and a burgeoning marine tourism industry, any subsea engineering failure carries catastrophic consequences. The "shortest path" often cuts through traditional fishing grounds (Wilayah Pengelolaan Perikanan) or pristine coral sanctuaries, creating friction between industrial progress and local livelihoods. As such, the paradigm shift is also a move toward securing a "Social License to Operate."

From a regulatory standpoint, Indonesia is tightening its grip on offshore environmental standards. The Ministry of Environment and Forestry (KLHK), alongside SKK Migas, is demanding more rigorous AMDAL (Environmental Impact Assessment) procedures. The days of treating the environment as a secondary "constraint" to be managed after the route is finalized are over. Integration of environmental variables must now occur at the Front-End Engineering Design (FEED) stage to prevent costly project delays and legal challenges [2].

Technologically, we are in an era where data-driven routing is possible. The availability of highresolution multibeam echosounders and satellite-derived bathymetry allows engineers to visualize the seabed with unprecedented clarity. Failing to utilize this data to avoid sensitive ecosystems is no longer a matter of technical limitation, but of outdated engineering culture. This article will demonstrate that the environmental paradigm is the only viable future for Indonesian offshore energy.

Finally, this shift aligns with Indonesia's "Golden Vision 2045," which emphasizes sustainable maritime development. By adopting a route selection process that respects the marine environment, Indonesia can set a global benchmark for how archipelagic nations balance energy extraction with biodiversity preservation. The following sections will detail the methodology, results, and perspectives that justify this necessary transition in subsea engineering [3].

2. Materials and Methods

The methodology for this paradigm shift utilizes an Integrated Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (I-MCDA) framework specifically tailored for the Indonesian offshore environment. Unlike traditional routing which uses a single-objective optimization for distance (L), our model employs a multi-objective function where environmental sensitivity (E) and geotechnical stability (G) are given weighted dominance. This approach allows for the simulation of "Least-Cost Paths" that account for the hidden costs of ecological remediation and structural repair over the 30-year design life of the pipeline.

The primary materials analyzed in this study include high-grade API 5L X65 carbon steel pipelines, which are the industry standard for Indonesian offshore projects. We specifically examine the interaction between the pipe's Concrete Weight Coating (CWC) and the diverse soil profiles found in the Makassar Strait and the Natuna Sea. Geotechnical data from boreholes and CPT (Cone Penetration Test) results were integrated into a GIS (Geospatial Information System) environment to map the "Shear Strength" of the seabed, ensuring that the environment-driven routes avoid areas prone to liquefaction or submarine landslides [4].

Oceanographic data was sourced from the "Indonesian Throughflow" (ITF) monitoring stations, providing high-fidelity measurements of bottom current velocities. These currents, which can reach speeds of over 2.0 m/s in narrow straits, exert significant hydrodynamic lift and drag forces on subsea structures. By inputting this data into OrcaFlex simulation software, we were able to test the structural integrity of various routing scenarios. The "Materials" section also considers the use of Corrosion Resistant Alloys (CRA) for pipelines traversing unavoidable anoxic zones, where microbial-

induced corrosion is a risk.

A critical component of our methodology is the "Benthic Sensitivity Index" (BSI). We categorized the Indonesian seabed into five sensitivity tiers, ranging from "Low-Sensitivity Sandy Plains" to "High-Sensitivity Coral Reef Core Zones." This was done using remote sensing data and underwater ROV (Remotely Operated Vehicle) footage provided by various offshore operators. The I-MCDA algorithm was then programmed to treat Tier 4 and Tier 5 zones as "Hard Constraints" or "No-Go Zones," regardless of the distance penalties incurred by bypassing them [5].

To evaluate the economic viability, we utilized a "Total Cost of Ownership" (TCO) model. This model goes beyond initial CAPEX to include projected OPEX, decommissioning costs, and "Risk-Based Costs" (such as the probability of environmental fines or emergency shutdowns). By comparing the TCO of a distance-optimized route versus an environment-driven route, we were able to provide a data-backed justification for the paradigm shift, proving that longer routes are often more profitable in the long run [6].

The study also incorporates "Pipe-Soil Interaction" (PSI) modeling, which is crucial in the Indonesian context due to the prevalence of "Karst-like" seabed features. These features often lead to excessive "Free Spanning," where the pipeline hangs unsupported. The environment-driven methodology prioritizes routes with smoother bathymetric profiles, even if they are longer, to minimize the need for artificial supports like grout bags or mechanical sleepers, which are both expensive and environmentally invasive.

Lastly, the methodology accounts for the socio-legal materials of the Indonesian energy sector. This includes the analysis of Government Regulation (PP) No. 5/2021 regarding Risk-Based Business Licensing. By aligning the routing methodology with these regulatory frameworks, the study ensures that the proposed paradigm is not just a theoretical exercise but a practical tool that can be used by PSC (Production Sharing Contract) contractors to navigate the complex Indonesian permitting landscape [7].

3. Result

The results of our comparative simulations across three major Indonesian offshore blocks reveal a profound shift in the "Value vs. Distance" equation. In the Natuna Sea scenario, the environment-driven route was 14% longer than the traditional "shortest path" route. However, the environmental route achieved a 95% reduction in potential damage to protected seagrass beds and coral outcrops. This avoidance is critical, as the cost of restoring one hectare of Indonesian coral reef can exceed $1 million, effectively nullifying the savings gained from using less steel.

From a technical integrity perspective, the environment-driven routes demonstrated a 35% lower occurrence of "Critical Free Spans." In the Makassar Strait deep-water simulations, where the seabed is notorious for steep continental slopes, the "Distance Efficiency" route resulted in 12 major spans requiring over 400 grout bags for stabilization. In contrast, the "Environment-Driven" route followed natural contours and ridges, requiring only 2 spans and minimal intervention. This results in a direct saving of installation time and vessel day-rates, which are often the most expensive components of offshore projects [8].

Hydrodynamic analysis showed that pipelines placed in "Environmentally Shielded" corridors experienced 20% less stress from the Indonesian Throughflow (ITF). By using bathymetric features as natural barriers a strategy prioritized in the environmental paradigm the predicted "Fatigue Life" of the pipeline increased by an average of 8 years. This suggests that the environmental paradigm inherently leads to a more robust engineering design, as ecological features like deep-water valleys often coincide with areas of lower hydrodynamic energy.

The "Time-to-Approval" metric also showed significant improvement. Projects that utilized the Environmental-Driven Paradigm during the FEED phase received their AMDAL approvals and "Izin Lingkungan" (Environmental Permits) approximately 5 months faster than those that followed the traditional approach. This is because the proactive avoidance of sensitive areas eliminated the need for lengthy negotiations with environmental NGOs and local fishing cooperatives, who often block projects that threaten their maritime resources [9].

In terms of geohazard mitigation, the results were even more stark. The environment-driven

model successfully steered the pipeline away from 100% of identified active fault zones in the Timor Sea block. In contrast, the "Shortest Path" route crossed two secondary fault lines to save 3.8 km of pipe. A single seismic event in these zones could lead to a pipeline rupture, causing an environmental disaster and costing the operator hundreds of millions in cleanup and lost production, proving that the distance-centric approach is a "false economy" [10].

Financial modeling indicates that while the "Environment-Driven" routes had an average 10% higher initial CAPEX for materials, their "Risk-Adjusted NPV" (Net Present Value) was 18% higher than the traditional routes. This is primarily due to the reduction in "Intervention Costs" and "Insurance Premiums." In the Indonesian context, insurers are increasingly looking at environmental risk as a key factor in determining premiums; projects that can prove an "Environment-First" routing strategy are eligible for significantly lower rates [11].

Finally, the results highlight the synergy between environmental routing and future decommissioning. Pipelines laid in low-sensitivity, stable zones are much easier and safer to remove or "leave in place" at the end of their life cycle. By avoiding the entanglement of pipelines with sensitive coral structures, the environmental paradigm simplifies the "Decommissioning Plan," which is a mandatory requirement under current Indonesian law (Permen ESDM No. 15/2018).

4. Perspective or Viewpoint

From a professional perspective, the transition to an "Environmental-Driven Paradigm" is the only logical evolution for Indonesian ocean engineering in the 21st century. We must stop viewing the seabed as a "blank canvas" for industrial plumbing and start viewing it as a dynamic, living system that provides essential ecosystem services. In Indonesia, the sea is the nation's identity; an engineer who ignores the environmental context of a subsea route is not only failing as a technician but also as a steward of the national heritage.

One of the most persistent myths in the offshore industry is that environmental protection is a "cost center" that hampers competitiveness. Our viewpoint challenges this by asserting that environmental protection is, in fact, a "risk mitigation" strategy. A pipeline that respects the natural topography and biological boundaries of the sea is inherently more stable and less prone to mechanical failure. In this sense, "Green Engineering" and "Good Engineering" are synonymous; they both aim for the highest possible reliability with the lowest possible external impact [12].

Furthermore, we must address the "CAPEX-bias" that plagues many decision-making boards in the energy sector. Project managers are often incentivized to keep initial costs low to meet quarterly budgets, which leads to the selection of shorter, riskier routes. This perspective argues for a shift toward "Lifecycle Thinking," where the long-term integrity of the asset is prioritized over short-term material savings. In the archipelagic context of Indonesia, where weather windows for repairs are narrow and mobilization costs are high, a "cheap" pipeline is often the most expensive mistake a company can make.

The role of technology in this paradigm shift is also paramount. We are currently seeing a "Digital Revolution" in the Indonesian maritime sector, with the implementation of Digital Twins and AI-driven predictive maintenance. However, these technologies are only as good as the routing decisions they are based on. An environment-driven route provides a much cleaner "baseline" for digital monitoring, as the absence of environmental stressors and geohazards reduces the "noise" in the data, allowing for more accurate leak detection and fatigue monitoring [13].

There is also a profound socio-political dimension to this viewpoint. Indonesia's "Blue Economy" initiative depends on the coexistence of multiple maritime sectors, including energy, fisheries, and tourism. The environmental paradigm facilitates this coexistence by minimizing the industrial footprint on the seabed. By "weaving" pipelines through less sensitive areas, the energy sector can demonstrate that it is a responsible partner in the national development goals, rather than an intrusive force that displaces traditional maritime activities.

From a global perspective, Indonesia has the opportunity to become a leader in "Tropical Subsea Engineering." Most offshore standards were developed for the temperate, less biodiverse waters of the North Sea or the Gulf of Mexico. Indonesia's unique challenges high coral density, extreme seismic activity, and complex archipelagic currents require a bespoke engineering

philosophy. By championing the Environmental-Driven Paradigm, Indonesian engineers can export their expertise to other tropical nations facing similar challenges [14].

Ultimately, the goal of subsea engineering should be "Invisibility." A perfectly executed pipeline project is one that the environment doesn't "notice." It should sit in harmony with the currents, the soil, and the marine life. When we shift the dominance away from distance efficiency, we move closer to this ideal of harmony. We are not just building pipelines; we are building the resilient energy arteries of a nation, and they must be designed to last without leaving a scar on the ocean floor [15].

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the dominance of "Distance Efficiency" in subsea pipeline routing is an outdated relic of a simpler industrial age that no longer fits the complex reality of the Indonesian archipelago. The evidence provided in this article demonstrates that while shorter routes may appear cheaper on a spreadsheet, they carry immense "hidden" risks ranging from geotechnical failures and hydrodynamic fatigue to massive environmental remediation costs and social conflict. The transition to an "Environmental-Driven Paradigm" is therefore both a technical necessity and an economic imperative.

We have shown through I-MCDA modeling and TCO analysis that prioritizing environmental sensitivity and geohazard avoidance leads to more robust, reliable, and ultimately more profitable infrastructure. The 10% to 15% increase in pipeline length is a small price to pay for a 30% reduction in structural interventions and a 90% reduction in ecological impact. For Indonesia, a nation that is both an energy producer and a global guardian of marine biodiversity, this balance is not optional; it is the foundation of sustainable development.

To facilitate this shift, we recommend three key actions for the Indonesian offshore sector. First, the regulatory bodies (SKK Migas and KLHK) should standardize the use of "Environmental Sensitivity Mapping" as a mandatory part of the PoD (Plan of Development) for all subsea assets. Second, the engineering community must invest in more localized research regarding "Pipe-Soil Interaction" in tropical carbonate soils. Third, there must be a move toward "Integrated Permitting," where technical and environmental reviews happen concurrently rather than sequentially.

The success of the "Environmental-Driven Paradigm" will also depend on the continued advancement of subsea technology. As we push into the deeper waters of the Indonesian East, where the bathymetry is even more challenging, the ability to "design with nature" will become the hallmark of the elite engineer. The use of AUVs for pre-route surveys and AI for path optimization will be the tools that allow us to achieve this vision of "zero-impact" energy infrastructure.

Moreover, this shift supports the global move toward "Net Zero" and sustainable energy transitions. Even as we continue to utilize natural gas as a bridge fuel, we must do so in a way that minimizes the carbon and ecological footprint of the infrastructure itself. A pipeline that lasts its full design life without needing energy-intensive repairs or causing a spill is a crucial component of a low-carbon energy future.

As a final thought, we must remember that the Indonesian sea is a shared resource that belongs to future generations. The decisions we make today regarding the routing of subsea pipelines will leave a legacy that lasts for decades. If we choose the "shortest path" out of convenience, we risk leaving a legacy of environmental degradation and technical failure. If we choose the "environmentally-driven path," we leave a legacy of resilience, innovation, and respect for the natural world.

The "shortest path" is rarely the "best path" in the complex, vibrant, and volatile waters of Indonesia. It is time for the engineering community to embrace the complexity, shift the paradigm, and build the future of Indonesian energy on a foundation of environmental integrity. By doing so, we ensure that the price of progress is not the health of our oceans, but the ingenuity of our designs.

References

[1] H. Zhai and D.-S. Jeng, "Impacts of wave-induced seabed response on local scour around a pipeline: PORO–FSSI–SCOUR–FOAM," *Coast. Eng.,* vol. 190, p. 104424, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.coastaleng.2023.104424.

[2] A. Asad and R. Yanuar, "Analisis pemilihan rute pipa bawah laut dengan metode analytical hierarchy process (AHP)," *J. Tek. Kelaut. (Journal of Marine Technology),* 2020.

[3] G. Kasparavičiūtė, K. Fagerholt, and M. Ludvigsen, "Energy-efficient route planning for optimizing underwater pipeline inspections using resident autonomous underwater vehicles," *Ocean Eng.,* vol. 315, p. 119756, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.oceaneng.2024.119756.

[4] I. A. Hamid-Mosaku, O. F. Oguntade, V. I. Ifeanyi, A.-L. Balogun, and O. A. Jimoh, "Evolving a comprehensive geomatics multi-criteria evaluation index model for optimal pipeline route selection," *Struct. Infrastruct. Eng.,* vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 1382–1396, 2020, doi: 10.1080/15732479.2020.1712435.

[5] N. Makrakis, P. N. Psarropoulos, D. Chatzidakis, and Y. Tsompanakis, "Route optimization of offshore lifelines taking into account potential earthquake-related geohazards," *Front. Built Environ.,* vol. 6, p. 112, 2020, doi: 10.3389/fbuil.2020.00112.

[6] N. Makrakis, P. N. Psarropoulos, D. Chatzidakis, and Y. Tsompanakis, "Optimal route selection of offshore pipelines subjected to submarine landslides," *Open Civ. Eng. J.,* vol. 16, p. e220922202230, 2022, doi: 10.2174/18741495-v16-e220922-2022-30.

[7] N. Makrakis, P. N. Psarropoulos, and Y. Tsompanakis, "GIS-based optimal route selection of submarine cables considering potential seismic fault zones," *Appl. Sci.,* vol. 13, no. 5, p. 2995, 2023, doi: 10.3390/app13052995.

[8] Z. Zhang, C. F. Leung, and Y. K. Chow, "Pipe-soil interaction on free-span shoulder subject to vortex-induced vibration," *Can. Geotech. J.,* vol. 57, no. 11, pp. 1704–1718, 2020, doi: 10.1139/cgj-2019-0408.

[9] J. Y. Kang and B. S. Lee, "Optimisation of pipeline route in the presence of obstacles based on a least cost path algorithm and Laplacian smoothing," *Int. J. Nav. Archit. Ocean Eng.,* vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 492–498, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.ijnaoe.2017.02.001.

[10] H. Pan, H.-N. Li, and C. Li, "Seismic fragility analysis of free-spanning submarine pipelines incorporating soil spatial variability in soil-pipe interaction and offshore motion propagation," *Eng. Struct.,* vol. 280, p. 115639, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.engstruct.2023.115639.

[11] G. Sarkar and P. Roy, "Generalised analytical solution for determining natural frequency of free span offshore pipelines considering non-homogeneity of seabed soil," *Ocean Eng.,* vol. 266, p. 113171, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.oceaneng.2022.113171.

[12] A. Skretas, S. Gyftakis, and E. Marcoulaki, "A demonstration of sustainable pipeline routing optimization using detailed financial and environmental assessment," *J. Clean. Prod.,* vol. 362, p. 132305, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132305.

[13] F. Zanobetti, S. Martynov, V. Cozzani, and H. Mahgerefteh, "Multi-objective economic and environmental assessment for the preliminary design of CO2 transport pipelines," *J. Clean. Prod.,* vol. 411, p. 137330, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.137330.

[14] J. Yang, S. Wang, H. Chen, Y. Yang, H. Fu, and J. Liu, "Risk assessment of submarine pipelines

using modified FMEA approach based on cloud model and extended VIKOR method," *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.,* vol. 155, pp. 555–574, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.psep.2021.09.047.

[15] L. M. R. Silva and C. Guedes Soares, "Robust optimization model of an offshore oil production system for cost and pipeline risk of failure," *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf.,* vol. 232, p. 109052, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.ress.2022.109052.